

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 18, 1999 LB 632

and so I think...I'm sure that that's why the car dealers felt that they would like at least this much in the state law of Nebraska to try to bring about more equity in how manufacturers deal with dealers. Now, in the original bill, and this was...was stricken by the committee amendment, there was another subject that was dealt with, but this does not carry through in the committee amendment, and this provision would actually have dealt with trying to give a dealership some recourse if a manufacturer refused to approve a franchise sale by the dealer. But that's not a subject that...that is perpetuated in the committee amendment and in the amendment to 632 that we're proposing. With that, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, I will certainly ask for the body's consideration of the adoption of the amendment, and will respond to questions if there are any. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. For discussion on the Bromm amendment to LB 632, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Bromm, just a couple quick questions, if I could. I'm supportive of the bill.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The first question is, it's pretty early in the session and this would look to be a consent calendar bill. Why is it an amendment to a bill as opposed to just going through the consent calendar process? I just kind of hate to see us getting started so early in the session...

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...with...

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah, and that thought...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...putting other bills on bills and avoiding the consent calendar.

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah. The thought crossed my mind as well,